The power of sensory marketing in advertising
Aradhna Krishna1,3, Luca Cian2,3 and Tatiana Sokolova1

This article discusses the role of sensory marketing in driving advertisement effectiveness. First focusing on vision, we discuss the effect of mental simulation and mental imagery evoked by ad visuals on ad effectiveness. Second, we review findings on gustation, zooming in on the effect of multi-sensory stimulation on taste perceptions. Third, we elaborate on the role of actual and imagined touch in shaping consumer evaluations and behaviors. Fourth, we discuss olfaction as a driver of ad recall and responses to ads. Finally, we review the role of auditory sense in advertising, focusing on the effect of music on consumers’ memory for and evaluations of ads. Directions for future research in the domain of sensory marketing and product advertising are discussed.
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In the last few decades, marketing has changed drastically, evolving from unidirectional communications from companies to consumers, into dialogs between companies and consumers. Today, marketing communication is characterized by multidimensional conversations with products finding their own voices and consumers responding viscerally and subconsciously to them (Krishna, in [1]). Attention to how to reach consumers through the five senses has consequently been growing exponentially, in the corporate world as well as in academia. Sensory marketing can be defined as ‘marketing that engages the consumers’ senses and affects their perception, judgment and behavior. From a managerial perspective, sensory marketing can be used to create subconscious triggers that characterize consumer perceptions of abstract notions of the product (e.g. its sophistication or quality)’ ([2], p. 332). Krishna and Schwarz [3**] place sensory marketing within a broader framework and show its relationship to embodied cognition — another emerging field highlighting the idea that feeling guides thinking (or that sensory perception affects cognition).

In this review, we will focus on how sensory marketing can influence advertisement (ad) design and effectiveness. We begin by discussing ad visuals and the role of mental simulation and imagery. We then focus on how to activate sensory stimulation via ad copy. We next describe the interrelation of ads with the other senses: haptics, smell, and hearing. We conclude with ideas for future research.

Visuals and imagery in advertising
Visual aesthetics have long been recognized as key determinants of ad effectiveness[4–10]. In this review, we focus on how ad visuals can lead to spontaneous generation of imagery in the viewer’s mind with stimulus orientation [11] and perceived movement [12**] affecting the amount and the type of imagery generated. The content of these images, in addition to how the images are formed, plays a large role in affecting evaluations toward the ad and the product.

Stimulus orientation and mental simulation
Can the position of a product in an ad (e.g. to the right or to the left) affect how the viewer imagines using the product? Elder and Krishna [11] demonstrated that changing a product’s visual depiction leads viewers to imagine interacting with that product and can thereby increase purchase intention. Specifically, they showed that a match between handedness and product orientation (e.g. a right-handed person views a picture of a bowl of soup with a spoon on the right; see Figure 1), vs. a mismatch (e.g. a right-handed person sees a picture of a bowl of soup with a spoon on the left), increases the mental simulation of product interaction. In other words, a match between handedness and object orientation facilitates the mental simulation of interacting with the object. Enhanced mental simulation, in turn, increases purchase intentions. However, when the product appears unappealing, a match between product orientation and handedness enhances the simulation of a negative experience, consequently decreasing purchase intentions. Figure 2 shows the effects of an enhanced mental simulation (match) on purchase intentions using an appealing product (soup with asiago cheese) and an unappealing product (soup with cottage cheese). Finally, Elder and Krishna [11] have shown that mental simulation requires resources similar to those involved in actual perception — if right-handed subjects are holding a clamp in their right hand, purchase intention does not increase.
Dynamic imagery

In addition to product orientation, another visual characteristic able to evoke an automatic imagery response is perceived movement [7,12**]. For example, imagine being in a museum and seeing a painting evoking a great sense of dynamism and movement. In a marketing context, we can find similar examples when observing logos and pictures in ads. What, then, are the consequences of this perceived movement? Cian et al. [12**] focused their research on the ability of a static visual to convey movement without actually moving (what they called ‘dynamic imagery’). They showed that dynamic imagery allows for images within the mind to continue in motion, creating a higher engagement for the viewer. For instance, in one of their studies, they created two versions of an ad for a fictitious brand, EE Watches. The only difference between the two ads was the dynamic imagery evoked by the logo (lower vs. higher dynamism, see Figure 3). In this study, Cian, Krishna, and Elder used an eye tracker to measure engagement (testing for gaze duration and number of fixations) and found that the more dynamic logo leads participants to spend more time gazing at the ad. Higher engagement with the ad led, in turn, to more favorable attitudes toward the brand.

Adverting copy, sensory stimulation, and perceived taste

Ad copy has a fundamental role in completing and expanding the message conveyed by the visual [13]. In ad copy, the message is usually simple and brief [14], often focused on one sensation or sense. When advertising food, for example, most companies use ads focused only on the taste of the food. Elder and Krishna [6] showed, however, that an ad results in better taste perception by mentioning multiple sensations rather than taste alone. Because multiple senses (sight, smell, texture, and sound) together generate taste [15**,16], ads that
mention these senses will have a stronger impact than ads that mention taste alone. In one experiment, the authors used two different ads: one appealed to multiple senses (a tagline for a chewing gum read ‘stimulate your senses’) and the other mentioned only taste (‘long-lasting flavor’). After tasting the gum, participants listed their thoughts about the item and rated its overall taste. It was found that ads involving multiple senses led to more positive sensory thoughts and thus higher taste perception than ads involving taste alone. These results suggest that advertising (in general) could benefit from including multiple sensory attributes of the advertised products. However, future research is needed to examine the effects of the interaction (and the congruence) between different senses.

**Haptic advertising**

Research also suggests that ads can benefit from the inclusion of a haptic component. Peck and Wiggins [17] found that a message incorporating a touch element is perceived as more persuasive than a message without a touch element, especially when the touch stimulates neutral or positive sensory feedback. For example, in one study, the authors designed a brochure to solicit new memberships for a Midwestern children’s museum. Half of their brochures included a soft, enjoyable touch element. The other half did not. The authors found that people who were exposed to the touch element, relative to those who were not, displayed a more positive attitude toward the brochure and were more likely to purchase a museum membership. However, this effect emerged only for people who derived enjoyment from touch (this construct was measured using the ‘Need for Touch Scale’ [18]).

Unfortunately, in most advertising media (TV, radio, Internet, etc.), it is impossible to provide a haptic component. To address this issue, Peck, Barger, and Webb [19**] investigated if imagining touch (haptic imagery) could serve as a surrogate for touch. They point out that individuals who have the opportunity to touch an object feel a stronger sense of ownership of that object [20,21], thereby increasing their perception of its value (i.e. the endowment effect). Interestingly, the degree to which individuals feel a sense of ownership is the same, whether they touch the object or merely close their eyes and imagine touching it. The results of this research are undoubtedly promising, even though the elicitation of haptic imagery, to be effective, requires respondents to close their eyes — a condition that is often difficult to obtain in practice.

**Olfactory advertising**

Much of the research on smell is focused on its effect on memory, such as memory for scent itself [22,23], scent and autobiographical memories [24], and ambient scent and memory [25]. Lwin, Morrin, and Krishna [26] extended the scent literature, testing for the effects of smell on memory within the advertising domain. The authors manipulated the presence or absence of both smell and pictorial stimuli in an ad and then assessed participants’ verbal recall. For example, in one experiment participants received a direct mailer insert promoting a moisturizer. The authors created two versions of the insert, one with a pictorial stimulus and the other without. Furthermore, some of the inserts were infused with a scent, whereas others were not. Participants were asked to recall the verbal information contained in the insert, both after 5 min and after 2 weeks. Results show that pictures
Music in advertising

A recent study from Nielsen noted that almost every television ad included music [29]. Given the omnipresence of music, it is crucial to understand its effects. Music in advertising has been shown to impact ad persuasion by influencing mood [30–36] and involvement [30,37–39]. In addition, music itself can carry a meaning — both embodied and referential [40]. In terms of embodied meaning (spontaneous feelings or reactions generated by the sounds of music, independently of context or semantic connotations), ads with a faster tempo can evoke more positive feelings [41,42]. More abbreviated percussive sounds and a more repetitive rhythm generate more energy [32,40]. In addition, auditory rhythms have a cross-modal influence on how one allocates visual attention; in other words, rhythmic auditory stimuli can direct attentional processes [43].

Music in ads also has a referential meaning, which is context dependent and reflects a listener’s personal associations. Most of the research on music also focuses on context, transportation, and familiarity. With respect to the context, results generally show that music can improve message processing, brand recall, and brand attitude only when it fits (it is congruent) with features of the ad and brand [31,44–46]. With respect to transportation, some research [46] investigated the persuasive power of moving (i.e. emotional) music in audio-visual advertising. The research showed the persuasiveness of such music is based on the listener’s ability to ‘get lost’ in the ad’s story. Such narrative transportation reduces critical processing, thereby increasing behavioral intentions (e.g. purchase intentions or willingness to donate money) by leading viewers into the ad’s story. Thus, moving music should not be used when communicating a complex advertising message, as it appears to disrupt attention and critical processing. Finally, music familiarity is another very important element to consider in advertising. Ward, Goodman, and Irwin [47] found that although consumers say they prefer listening to unfamiliar music, familiarity with music positively predicts individuals’ preferences for songs. When determining whether consumers would choose a particular kind of music or playlist, marketers should bypass consumers’ ideas of what they want and instead ask about their familiarity with the music. Similarly, Stalinski and Schellenberg [48] investigated whether liking a song affects song recollection. They found that liking is directly associated with recognition. Listeners therefore tend to like music they remember and vice versa.

Final remarks and future directions

Sensory marketing is an emerging field of research. In this article, we have presented a brief overview of how research in sensory perception can be applied to advertisement design and effectiveness, which we hope will spur further exploration of this topic. Much of what we have learned in the sensory marketing literature has clear practical and theoretical implications. To date, however, research has paid relatively little attention to how acoustic, haptic, and olfactory sensory inputs affect consumer decision-making and attitude formation processes. More systematic research is also needed to expand our understanding of multisensory integration and interactions between different sensory modalities [16,22,49–51]. Another area worth investigating is sensory dominance; for example, does a specific sense have a greater impact on ad effectiveness depending on the advertising medium used? Addressing these (and related) questions will further advance our understanding of how sensory marketing impacts consumers’ thoughts, feelings, and actions.
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This article provides an overview of the role of context sensitive perception, imagery, and simulation in consumer behaviors. The paper also discusses the communalties between embodiment, grounded cognition, and sensory marketing.


This paper introduces the concept of ‘dynamic imagery’ (the ability of static images to convey a sensation of movement) within the marketing domain. Unlike static visual imagery, dynamic imagery allows for images within the mind to continue in motion, resulting in a moving trajectory or perceived movement of a static image. The authors show that very subtle changes in logo design can increase or decrease dynamic imagery. This, in turn, affects consumer engagement and attitudes toward the ad.


15. Krishna A: Customer Sense: How the 5 Senses Influence Buying Behavior. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. The author draws on both research and marketing practice to illustrate how each of the human senses can be engaged by brands to build a favorable consumer response. The book provides an overview of sensory marketing research that has taken place thus far.


This paper introduces the concept of haptic imagery (imagining touching an object) within the marketing domain. The authors claim that haptic imagery has similar effects on perceived ownership as physical touch. In addition, the more vivid the haptic imagery, the greater the perception of control and the feeling of ownership toward an object.


This paper introduces the concept of olfactory imagery within the advertising domain. The authors found that smelling — imagining a smell — increased consumers’ desire to consume and purchase advertised food products. Consumers who are shown a picture of chocolate chip cookies and are asked to imagine the smell, desire the advertised food much more than consumers who are shown the picture but are not asked to imagine the smell. The authors find this olfactory-imagery response when consumers can see the food (either in a picture or through visual imagery); otherwise, the response is weaker.


